



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



per

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/098,683	098,683 03/15/2002		Gary Karlin Michelson	101.0042-05000	7210	
22882	7590	01/15/2003				
MARTIN &	<b>FERRA</b>	RO	EXAMINER			
14500 AVIO			HO, UYEN T			
CHANTILLY	1, VA 20	11511101		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				3731		
				DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			8					
<b>₩</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/098,683	MICHELSON, GARY KARLII	N					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit						
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho							
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sh et with the correspond nce address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA:  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic:  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, i  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	TION.  **CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of to y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Min by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 15 March 2002 .							
<u> </u>	☐ This action is non-final.							
		atters prosecution as to the merits is						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-78</u> is/are pending in the application.								
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>54-78</u> is/are rejected.								
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in	Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)	. 🗖							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper</li> </ol>	(48) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 10/098,683

Art Unit: 3731

....

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 05/01/2002 has been considered.

### Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains more than 150 words and includes phrases that can be implied, "The spinal fixation device of the present invention comprises," lines 4-5. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/098,683

Art Unit: 3731

5. Claims 54-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michelson (5,015,247) in view of Jefferies (4,394,370), Ripamonti (5,769,895) and Urist (4,526,909). Michelson discloses an interbody spinal fusion implant including all the structure limitations as claimed (Figs. 4A-4B) wherein the spinal fusion implant including a hollow chamber containing a material for promoting bone ingrowth (col. 10, lines 3-14 and col. 11, line 3 to col. 12, line 11). Although, Michelson does not disclose the material for promoting bone ingrowth being a bone morphogenetic protein, bone morphogenetic protein is a known material for promoting bone growth at the time the present invention was made. Jefferies teaches a bone graft material including bone morphogenetic protein for inducing new bone formation (col. 2, line 1 to col. 6, line 49). Ripamonti teaches porous carrier disks composed of hydroxyapatite being used as the carrier for bone morphogenetic protein to a treatment site for inducing the formation of new bone at the treatment site (col. 7, lines 34-44) and Urist (4,526,909) teaches a bone implant comprising bone morphogenetic protein for inducing new bone formation (col. 1 to col. 6, line 26). Therefore, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify Michelson reference by having the interbody spinal fusion implant containing bone morphogenetic protein for promoting bone ingrowth within the implant. since the applicant has not disclosed that having the material for promoting bone ingrowth being bone morphogenetic protein solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the interbody spinal fusion implant containing bone morphogenetic protein would perform equally well with the interbody spinal fusion

Application/Control Number: 10/098,683

Art Unit: 3731

implant containing other materials that promote bone ingrowth, for example, autogenous

bone.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is

(703) 306-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0858.

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho

January 10, 2003

MICHAEL J. MILANO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700